



**NEVADA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT COORDINATING COUNCIL  
(EMCC)**

State of Nevada

**Regular Meeting Minutes – May 12, 2010**

The regular EMCC meeting was called to order by EMCC Chairman O'Brien at 9:00 am. Chairman O'Brien noted that the meeting was posted and noted as required.

Hanne Epstein conducted roll call. Eight voting EMCC members were present:

Terry Bohl, Joe Curtis, Mike Cyphers, Carolyn Levering, Craig Nixon, Jim O'Brien, Jeffery Page, Russell Peacock.

A quorum was declared by Chairman O'Brien

EMCC members not present: Stacey Giomi, Aaron Kenneston, Pat Jim.

DEM staff present: Kelli Baratti, Kamala Carmazzi, Hanne Epstein, Lawanda Fred, Shelley Horton, Bud Marshall, Rick Martin, Pete Reinschmidt, Kirsten Sherve, Frank Siracusa, Valerie Sumner.

## **AGENDA ITEMS**

### **1) Consideration of the minutes for approval from the EMCC Regular Meeting – March 10, 2010 (Discussion/Action)**

Co chair Page called for a motion to approve the minutes. M. Cyphers moved to approve the minutes J. Curtis seconded the motion, no discussion, all in favor, none opposed, motion carried.

### **2) Progress reports from DEM on the following subjects:**

#### **Nevada Credentialing Plan (Kelli Baratti)**

The credentialing team assessed the status of all counties' credentialing program within the categories A-D as described in the Nevada Credentialing Plan, A being the most elementary level, D the most advanced level.

The County evaluation had the following results:

**Level A:** Lander County, Nye County, Pershing County

**Level B:** Churchill County, Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lincoln County, Storey County and White Pine County

**Level C:** Carson City, Lyon County, Mineral County, Washoe County.

**Level D:** Clark County

**J. Curtis** requested to know how they came about the information for the evaluation. He stated that he found all the information to be really flawed.

**K. Baratti** noted that it was important to remember that this evaluation was only based on the county's credentialing status as described in the Nevada Credentialing Plan, not on the overall NIMS cast compliance.

#### **Survey on credentialing programs in other US states**

A survey was drawn up and presented to all states in the US. The states were contacted and asked where they were at in regards to credentialing. The results were that only two states have really achieved significant progress on statewide credentialing. The State of Colorado has produced a smart chip credentialing for tier one resources and tier two resources is a bar code system. The State of Florida is focusing primarily on their emergency support functions. A copy of their program has been requested but not yet received. The fact that only two states are moving ahead leaves Nevada with an exciting opportunity to set the tone nationally concerning credentialing.

#### **Credentialing team tasks**

The team had looked at the six phases of the implementation plan as described on page six of the plan and taken a look at the tasks the credentialing project team envisioned being under each phase and who would be responsible. The six phases are:

- Registration and Enrollment.
- Eligibility Vetting and Risk Assessment.
- Issuance.
- Electronic Validation and Use.
- Expiration and Revocation.
- Redress and Waiver.

**K. Baratti** said she would email the resulting “first draft document “of that exercise to the EMCC members following the meeting. As an example of the work done, she mentioned that the first phase, Registration and Enrollment, would entail contacting the local jurisdictions to see if they want to participate in the credentialing process, as it is voluntary. The follow up would be to note and document the jurisdiction’s choice.

**K. Baratti** mentioned that there will be a smooth transition between resource management which is taking place in the counties right now and credentialing

She went on to state that the credentialing team had an opportunity to meet with the company that the State of Nevada has contracted with Department of Motor vehicles (DMV) to do the new drivers licenses. They shared the process they use from the initial application for a driver’s license through the vetting process, how they produced the card and how the card is issued. DPS is on the INTEL site also looking at what this company offers as far as biometrics, smart chip technology and Federal Information Processing System (FIPS) 201 compliance. K. Baratti pointed out that Nevada is still not at a point where we are ready to define which company we want to do business with, but she said it could potentially give DEM a huge push in the direction that we want to go, working with a company that already has a contract with the State of Nevada.

#### **Interstate and intrastate capabilities**

**K. Baratti** noted that FEMA concentrates on interstate deployment of Type I resources. They have done credentialing definitions of positions for: animal health, emergency management resources, emergency medical resources, fire and hazmat resources, health and medical resources, public works resources and search and rescue resources. Noticeably missing is law enforcement. That is going to be a huge issue in Nevada also. Many disciplines within the state of Nevada have some sort of licensing board or certification process. Law enforcement is lacking in that field. There is no standard licensing or training that defines law enforcement positions across the State. There may be a need to suggest some statutes that help firm up the process. She mentioned that she had talked to a number of subject matter experts that were willing to help identify the credentialing requirements for their various disciplines.

The credentialing project team is working to create a list of tier one and tier two first responders in the State by June 30.

**K. Baratti** concluded that credentialing Nationwide is still in its infancy. The state of Nevada hopes to move towards the highest standards of credentialing. However at the beginning it will have to be as elementary as possible. As an example she mentioned that Nevada could have first responders deployed interstate, presenting their credentials, and the state they are deployed to still has no way of dealing with their credentials. We could have an incident in Nevada where first responders from out of state do not have credentials because the program is not in place yet in their state.

**Chairman O’Brien** congratulated K. Baratti on gaining a lot of traction on the project. He went on to suggest that the project team, as it addresses elements in the Nevada Credentialing Plan, develop a crosswalk. As things get completed, report cards would be created which give a reference to the issue in the plan being addressed and fulfilled. This would help create the report that needs to be submitted to the Homeland Security Commission at then end of the two year period.

**K. Baratti** concurred and suggested to start the crosswalk prior to the next EMCC meeting.

### **National Incident Management System (NIMS) Compliance (Pete Reinschmidt)**

**P. Reinschmidt** said that a report was submitted to the Homeland Security Commission at the last regular EMCC meeting, which indicated that 95 % of the State, 65 % of the counties and 15 % of the tribes are in compliance with all standards as they relate to the National Incident Management System (NIMS).

For some time DEM has worked with the local jurisdictions on obtaining compliance. Some of the jurisdictions are highly cooperative and some of the jurisdictions are less cooperative.

**P. Reinschmidt** went on to ask the EMCC members if they would want to be informed of the status of the various counties in the state. The EMCC members agreed that this would be interesting and it would be considered public information. Co-chair Page pointed out, that the information would also allow the counties on a local level to support each other to get to the level they need to be.

**P. Reinschmidt** mentioned that the efforts under the Statewide Resource Management project have not been included in the compliance assessment. NIMS compliant resource management would be a significant progress causing the compliance percentage to rise significantly for all counties in the State. He noted that planning initiatives was another issue that many jurisdictions are struggling with.

The results of the NIMS compliance for the counties in Nevada as reported based on the latest survey performed 6 month ago are as follows:

Carson City 75%, Churchill County 45 %, Clark County 100% (disregarding credentialing), Douglas County 62.5%, Elko County 60%, Esmeralda County 60 %,Eureka County 50%, Humboldt County 67.5 %, Lander County 40%, Lincoln County 57.5 %, Lyon County 80 %, Mineral County, 92.5%, Nye County 42.5%, Pershing County 35 %, Storey County 47.5%, Washoe County 85 %, White Pine County 47.5%.

Some counties may have done other work since the survey that will have changed their status. According to Dick Mirgon counties are asking for funding to revise plans.

**P. Reinschmidt** reiterated that he was not aware of any funding initiative that provide for that planning assistance. In July 2010 DEM will start a new round of support initiatives to go out to the counties and work with them towards the NIMS compliance.

The information about the NIMS compliance in the state of Nevada has been provided to the Homeland Security Commission as required in 239C. P. Reinschmidt said that he would welcome any recommendations from the EMCC members to improve the process.

**J. Curtis** requested information on how the percentage factor was calculated. He expressed surprise over the percentage reached for Storey County, since he recalled Dick Mirgon had told him that Storey was in complete compliance. He said that no one had ever come back to him and said what he was missing in order to be compliant, and asked that if that did not happen how could he work towards compliance?

**P. Reinschmidt** referred to the 7 sections within the NIMS compliance initiative. Each section has a number of compliance objectives. Process and procedures in place is evaluated with each individual emergency Manager. He promised that DEM support would include going back to the counties and discuss what they needed to work on in order to enhance their NIMS compliance. He also explained that A CD and some information on becoming NIMS compliant is available from DEM.

**Chairman O'Brien** noted the importance of making the numbers actionable; analyze what are the common things missing and use the information as a component in planning training and exercise

**P. Reinschmidt** gave a short introduction to the NIMS CAST compliance Table EMCC members requested that the NIMS CAST compliance table and the supporting document behind it be e mailed to the EMCC members.

**P Reinschmidt** informed that he had been made aware that there were requirements for each jurisdiction receiving EMPG funding to complete the NIMSCAST survey. That would mean the cities individually would have to do the survey in the future. This statement was discussed widely and was contested by Chairman O'Brien, who pointed out as an example that Clark County's reporting was done inclusively of the cities in the county.

**K. Carmazzi** stated that the Federal Authorities had asked that DEM to delineate jurisdictions within the state as it would pertain to the Homeland Security Grant Program. At that time counties were defined as those jurisdictions. That has not been modified and still carries. In conclusion that results in the county level being held responsible for the NIMS compliance inclusive of the cities.

**P. Reinschmidt** summed up the discussion saying that the counties were then to report NIMS compliance to include the cities, no city would be asked to do an individual survey.

**R. Peacock** requested to know, whether a state communications plan and workable system is underway.

**Co-chair Page** answered that the State Comprehensive Interoperable Communications Plan was reviewed two weeks ago by the Nevada Communication Steering Committee and emergency managers invited to participate. That document is going forward. The interlinks is a work in progress. Work is ongoing to enable access from the state 800 megahertz through the VHF system.

**B. Marshall noted** that looking at the NIMS compliance numbers, a lot of the counties would have their non compliant checkmarks removed due to work presently being done on projects such as resource management, credentialing and planning training and exercise. For fiscal year 2010 it would be a logical step to look at the NIMS CAST compliance results; analyze it; and apply FY10 funds for NIMS compliance to improve the non compliance of these jurisdictions.

#### **State Preparedness Report (Paul Burke)**

**P. Burke** could not be present at the meeting but had prepared a document concerning the State Preparedness Report for all the EMCC members. The document was distributed prior to the meeting.

**R. Martin** DEM noted that the State Preparedness report was due on May 17, 2010 and was still being worked on. The full detailed report would be available at the next EMCC meeting.

### **Training (Michelle Candee)**

**M. Candee** presented a list of courses planned for 2011/12. The list represents the classes that DEM would like to deliver. Any jurisdiction interested in hosting the classes, was encouraged to contact M. Candee.

She explained that if a Jurisdiction has a request for a consortium class, they can go on line to DEM's website, where the links to the various classes are available. If there are specific courses the jurisdiction wants delivered, they should contact Jim O'Brien, who will then coordinate with M. Candee.

**M. Candee** encouraged the EMCC members to contact her with names of people in the jurisdictions that would like to be able to attend "Train the Trainers" ICS courses. DEM will assist in making them certified instructors.

She drew the member's attention to a training course catalogue a comprehensive book put together on training available. The full catalogue would be e-mailed to all members following the meeting.

### **Exercise (Tim Cary)**

**T. Cary** reported on upcoming exercises throughout the state. He wanted to highlight "the Toolkit" class to be held in Carson City and Clark County this year. The class teaches how to utilize the National Exercise System (NEXUS), the design and development system and the corrective action program system. It is a class that must be contracted. It can be requested locally and Tim emphasized that he could be contacted to arrange for the "Toolkit" training as he would like everyone to be able to use the NEXUS system.

**B. Marshall** suggested to train people internally, who can go out and train the "Toolkit" rather than relying on contractors. There was general agreement that this would be a good idea, and T. Cary promised to look into the possibilities for this.

**T. Cary** said that the State EOC continues to have drills every other month; the drills will expand to include ESFs in the future.

The Nevada State Exercise will be a tabletop a functional and a full scale exercise later this year and into next year. It will combine two things, a cyber incident as well as domestic terrorist anthrax incident. Anybody interested in more information in the exercises or want to play in the exercises were advised to please contact T. Cary or J. O'Brien.

Nevada Test site exercise is planning a workshop tabletop and full scale exercise for next year. The full scale exercise will take place in April of 2011.

DEM will be working with tribal emergency managers who have requested to set up and develop a table top exercise, which will meet their needs target capabilities and objectives.

State of Nevada is holding a tabletop exercise with the State of California June 28 at Lake Tahoe. The table top will test communications, mutual aid agreements as well as EMAC requests. FEMA region IX will also play.

FEMA Region IX as well as State Nevada DEM is completing an operation plan which would have been tested during the national level exercise that was planned for 2010. The plan will now be tested during a tabletop exercise in Reno. If you want more information on the exercise contact Tim.

DEM is working with partners from Clark County, the City of Henderson, Washoe County and the Chief Information Security officer for the State, Chris Epton, to create the annex to the State Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (SCEMP) on cyber attacks. In doing this they are using a tool made available from the Department of Homeland Security. The tool is called "Cyber Security Evaluation Tool" (CSET). It can be implemented to look for strengths, weaknesses and ways to improve your cyber security. The tool is available from T. Cary and could be used by the IT security responsible in the all jurisdictions. The evaluation results from the tool survey will list needs for equipment and can be used to develop future grant request to the Department of Homeland Security in the area of cyber security.

DEM will also in the future look into creating exercises integrating cyber attack scenarios.

**M. Cyphers**, suggested having access to the training calendar on the DEM website. He also wanted to remind the DEM to keep local emergency mangers informed on any activity in the jurisdictions relating to emergency management, including activities on this latest cyber security issues

**M. Candee** said she would work towards posting the training/exercise calendar to the website.

**Co-chair Page** stressed the need for coordination the different meetings and trainings across the state so they don't fall on the same days.

**C. Levering** suggested that a comment box including the date and location of the training be added to the course listing. T. Cary and M. Candee agreed to the idea.

**R. Peacock** noted that the State has not done much on training in the regional areas. Where as the rural area always get invitations to training, he felt that the distance they have to travel from the rural areas was not taken into consideration, as well as the lack of funding from the state to cover the travel to the training..

### **3) Progress report on Emergency Management Preparedness Grant (EMPG) funding distribution review - Jim O' Brien**

**Chairman O'Brien** reported that he had had ongoing conversations with people from IAEM for a proposed scope of work. He said the progress had been slower than expected but more substantial information may be available at the next EMCC meeting

### **4) Discussion/Action to identify Emergency Management laws that need to be addressed in the 2011 special session - Jeff Page**

Co-chair Page suggested that the EMCC start having some open discussions about what items needs to be addressed in law in 2011. One of the examples being legislation in place

that allows the EMCC to make recommendations to the Director of the Division of Emergency Management on issues such as dealing with EMPG funding formulas.

**Chairman O'Brien** suggested that the EMCC take a look at Nevada Revised Statutes, Emergency Management (NRS 414), look at after action reports, and look at exercise improvement plans, things that speak to legislative changes. He noted that going over the text in NRS 414 prior to discussions would be important. He also pointed out that the State needed to examine its role, as an example the movement of Department of Homeland Security into DEM needed to be codified.

**F. Siracusa** clarified that the issue of Department of Homeland Security being integrated into Division of Emergency Management is being addressed in a clean up bill as part of NRS 414 being headed up by the Department of Public Safety, putting in a statute that will solidify the Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security as one.

**Co-chair Page** pointed out that there are issues within the areas of evacuation and search and rescue that also need to be addressed and suggested a game plan wherein a place was defined to sent information to and maybe have a go to meeting, to discuss the comments and suggestions in a month or during the next EMCC meeting. Co-chair Page suggested getting the word out to the Emergency Managers in the state, that the EMCC is looking at this, and hearing what their concerns are about the current language and what changes would help benefit their operation

**P. Reinschmidt:** Suggested to consider some of the aspects of NIMS, look at the law and see what aspects could be changed to better reflect NIMS compliance for the law.

**Chairman O'Brien** agreed and added that if the EMCC recommended any legislative changes to statute, there should be a companion going on at the same time in the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) explaining what the implementation looks like and gives guidance, so the laws no longer were open for interpretations.

**P. Reinschmidt** noted that NRS 414 still has a lot of old world civil defense language that could be made more current time considering the Emergency Management Assistance Compact (EMAC), Nevada Emergency Management Assistance Compact (NEMAC) and interstate initiatives.

**G. Myler** questioned whether this initiative would be killed by a fiscal note.

**Co-chair Page** suggested that J. O'Brien, K Carmazzi, F. Siracusa and himself have an off line conversation about the subject and develop a process to get the local emergency managers input in place, and if needed schedule a special EMCC meeting to address those concerns and take a look at what the EMCC want to do with NRS414.

The EMCC members agreed on this approach.

**5) Discussion/Action to recommend to the Chief of the Nevada Division of Emergency Management an EMPG funding formula -Jeff Page**

**6) Discussion/Action to recommend to the Chief of the Nevada Division of Emergency Management, procedures to develop annual work plans for EMPG funding – Jeff Page**

**Co-chair Page** noted that agenda items 5 and 6 were placed on the agenda as standing items until the issues were resolved. Based upon Chairman O'Brien's report on the cooperation with AIEM concerning the EMPG funding formula under agenda item 3, he considered those agenda items covered unless there were further questions.

### **7) Discussion/Action regarding coordination of all jurisdictions in preparing for and participating in the Nevada Statewide Exercise Series 2011 - Jim O'Brien**

**Chairman O'Brien** explained that the National Level Exercise (NLE) was funded to the state and to the urban areas through the Homeland security Grant program. At the last Commission on Homeland Security meeting the urban area received permission to redirect the funding that was originally targeted for the NLE to go toward the exercise series that the urban area proposed to take place over the course of this year.

**Chairman O'Brien** said he hoped to get the same level of HSGP funding direction back towards participating. The objective is to design the exercise to involve all the counties, NEMAC and the State EM process and the ESFs. In the urban area there are funds available to do this.

He noted that the processes which were articulated in NEMAC have never truly been tested, and exercise would be the only way to validate it. If credentialing is anywhere along the path of being completed, some of the processes for that could be validated during this exercise as well. There are three exercise benchmarks to be done over the year: First a table top exercise occurring in October the content of that will include a the Fusion Center processes of indicators, warnings, analysis and information dissemination and a discussion about that The second part will be plans and policies related to cyber security for financial systems as well as the system control systems for utilities and other infrastructure. Third part will be a discussion of the plan and policies related to a biological attack involving aerosol Anthrax spores .Anthrax was chosen because sunlight does not deteriorate or degrade them and the lack of moisture does not affect Anthrax either. The medical surge component of various emergency plans at both county and State level has never been validated so at least it needs to be validated through a tabletop

The table top will be followed up by a functional exercise in January 2011. The functional exercise will involve the cyber attack and examine whether the financial systems as well as the system control elements are in fact protecting themselves and have processes and procedures in place to deter, defend and defeat an attack on their system during a cyber attack. Technical assistance has been requested form the cyber security division of DHS. There is a plan to do some workshops and seminars prior to the exercise.

Third component will be a full scale exercise at the end of March 2011 in which will be a 48 hours continuous play exercise that could exercise as much as 26 target capabilities if designed correctly. A steering committee meeting, held in April, mapped this out. The Concepts and Objectives meeting minutes were sent to people who were participants on the regional Clark County list as far as the NLE was concerned. T. Cary and B. Marshall were also copied on the list. Chairman O' Brien noted that he hoped that the distribution will occur to the people inclined to play because of their interest to participate in the NLE planning processes. The intent is to create three distinct planning, organization and processes consistent with Homeland Security Exercise Evaluation Program for each of these three exercise benchmarks over the course of the year. The whole point is that "talk is cheap", until we validate these concepts that we talked about and wrote on paper we never know if they

are going to work. We need to have design elements in all these exercise to pin down the strengths that we do have and to expose the gaps and shortfalls that truly do exist that we keep sweeping under the rug due to convenience.

The intent is to use as scenario a right wing domestic group called the Nevada Independence Movement, which also have some affiliations with other groups to carry out their efforts statewide.

**Chairman O'Brien** stressed that all counties have a real possibility to participate in the exercise series. "There is an opportunity to get this funded through the NLE funds that were redirected making it possible through those exercises to get these little pieces and parts pulled together and get a way to make some educated decision and take action in the future on where we have to go as a state."

#### **8) Discussion /Action to develop Nevada Administrative Code Additions consistent with NRS 414 - Jim O'Brien**

**Chairman O'Brien** recalled that there are one or two administrative codes related to NRS 414 that has to do with accessing the emergency assistance account. Two years ago he proposed a Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) for developing mobile support units and sent it to DEM in Carson City, nothing has happened since. The proposal has to do with mobile support units, concepts that are described in NRS 414. It spells out in a regulatory way how it was to occur, if we were to establish mobile support units. Chairman O'Brien noted that he has been trying to get this started with Civil Support Team and ARMOR for two years and nothing has happened. He stressed the need to get NAC additions done for other parts of the NRS414 as well so things would be clear regardless of changes in leadership in DEM.

**Chairman O'Brien** recollected that NRS 414 says that programs that are authorized to do emergency management in the State are cities and counties. He had been surprised when he participated in a mitigation meeting where as an example, Clark county School District was told that they can adopt a mitigation plan and apply for mitigation funding directly from the DEM. He stated that it is not clear and indeed very uncertain what is authorized in NRS 414. It would be a good task for the EMCC to move forward to solidify regulations. Right now when the legislature is out is a perfect time to get these developed get them published, get hearings out, get them heard and adopted before the next regulatory sessions.

Chairman O'Brien and P. Reinschmidt agreed to set up "straw man" versions of NAC consistent with NRS 414, which people can start accessing and adding a language that makes sense to them. Chairman O'Brien and P. Reinschmidt would also look into finding some model language, if it exists. Once different ideas for NAC consistent with NRS 414 are collected the EMCC could work toward developing the final suggestions for NAC additions, working in the same way as was done for the Nevada Credentialing Plan.

**K. Carmazzi** Cautioned the EMCC to keep in mind that looking at changes to statutes, any changes in law has a two year timeframe to get back. If we need to make changes, regulations on the other hand, provides us with an opportunity to modify as necessary. Even though it is still a lengthy process it does not take two years.

**Chairman O'Brien** clarified that he was indeed talking about regulations.

**G. Myler** noted that you have to remember that you only need a regulation if you have a statute that cover what you want to do and if you don't have a statute that allows you to enhance it by a regulation it is not going to do you much good just to have a regulation.

**9) Discussion/Action to develop an enhanced planning approach to improve and increase coordination of resources for the purpose of reducing potential for duplication of efforts - Jim O'Brien**

**Chairman O'Brien** brought up the problem of State Staff coming into the community with a program or a plan activity, seeking out a particular stakeholder group or interested party but not the emergency manager. As an example, he mentioned the establishment of medical shelters through the Baptist Family Services, an activity, which is in contradiction to what Clark County's overall is planning. Chairman O'Brien requested that State hold direct conversation with County Emergency Management about initiatives that are undertaken in the local jurisdictions. He urged DEM to remember that everything starts at the local level, and would not work if it starts at the State and works it way down. He expressed understanding that some activities are amplifications to already existing SCEMP and annexes, but noted that there are definite impact and effects in terms of duplicating efforts when communication and collaboration between DEM and the counties does not take place.

**J. Curtis** commented on Homeland Security people doing critical infrastructure work, coming into the county setting up meetings with people who knew nothing about what is going on. We never get any information. An illustration coming in setting up and doing other plans irrespective of what we have in place.

**K. Carmazzi** reminded the EMCC that DEM does not necessarily know about all statewide projects that are going on. There are different state leads running projects that are not DEM.

**10) Discussion Action/ for NDEM to apply for a portal in the FEMA Virtual Joint Planning Office through FEMA Region IX - Jim O'Brien**

Chairman O'Brien told that he had attended the National Planning Workshop and had been introduced to the Virtual Joint Planning Office (VJPO) operated by FEMA with unlimited licenses. Dan Stevens in Region IX is the FEMA point of contact for the system. Chairman O'Brien had seen how the Bay Area the LA Area and the Chicago Area were doing collaboration and coordination planning through their states for regional catastrophic activities. While Nevada is not part of the Regional Catastrophic Grant Program, VJPO is licensed to FEMA and it is possible to be tucked under the region IX tab in VJPO. The VJPO system is a share point cloud computing system. It can do all the things you do with outlook but you can do it on one location and it is free.

**Chairman O'Brien** moved, that the EMCC recommend to the NDEM to apply for and operate a VPJO account under the FEMA umbrella.

**P. Reinschmidt** commented that he and P. Burke have current accounts operating in the VJPO. Their accounts are open for the purpose of the State Preparedness Report. (SPR) The SPR gets uploaded through that portal. Collectively the portal it is not being used for anything. If it is better defined in the future what the EMCC wants to use it for there are two accounts open that can access into the system.

**Chairman O'Brien** commented that there is a specific template that is offered to the regional catastrophic grant sites that allows a broad inclusion. The templates already exist that we can adopt within the state of Nevada. It would be one administrator of the account for the state with access for all counties in the state.

**Co-chair Page** called for a second to the motion from Chairman O'Brien. C. Nixon seconded the motion. All were in favor none opposed, the motion passed

**P. Reinschmidt** said he would work on it.

### **Public Comments**

**M. Cyphers** requested that the EMCC minutes be made available to all Emergency Managers in the State so they know what the EMCC is doing.

**P. Reinschmidt** agreed that ones the minutes are ratified by the EMCC they are made public, posted to the DEM website and sent to all emergency mangers that are designated on our mailing list.

**Co- chair Page** requested DEM to come up with a system to better schedule meetings and trainings. He noted that too often meetings and training were scheduled on the same date, and information about scheduled training and meetings was not getting out in a timely manner.

**Next Scheduled Meeting:** A regular EMCC meeting was scheduled for July 14, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. at the following site  
State Emergency Operations Center, 2478 Fairview Drive, Carson City, NV 89710

### **Adjournment:**

**Co chair Page** declared the meeting adjourned.

### **Next Scheduled Meeting:**

A regular EMCC meeting was scheduled for July 14, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. at the following site

- State Emergency Operations Center, 2478 Fairview Drive, Carson City, NV 89710

### **Adjournment:**

**Chairman O'Brien** called for a motion to adjourn the meeting, Jeff page motioned, the motion was moved by Joe Curtis, Chairman O' Brien declared the meeting adjourned.